


The book was found

Constitutional Law 2016 Supplement



Synopsis

This collection of articles on constitutional law covers topics such as: progressive and conservative constitutionalism, theories of constitutional interpretation, and structures of government in constitutional law. --This text refers to an out of print or unavailable edition of this title.

Book Information

Paperback: 178 pages

Publisher: Wolters Kluwer; Supplement edition (August 19, 2016)

Language: English

ISBN-10: 1454875593

ISBN-13: 978-1454875598

Product Dimensions: 6 x 0.6 x 9 inches

Shipping Weight: 9.6 ounces (View shipping rates and policies)

Average Customer Review: 2.4 out of 5 starsÂ Â See all reviewsÂ (23 customer reviews)

Best Sellers Rank: #55,070 in Books (See Top 100 in Books) #29 inÂ Books > Textbooks > Law > Constitutional Law #53 inÂ Books > Law > Constitutional Law > General #102 inÂ Books > Law > Legal Education

Customer Reviews

I am finishing my second year of law school, so I have read quite a few case books. This is BY FAR the worst. I have the 5th edition. The cases are poorly edited, leaving out important information. But the notes are even worse. The notes are very poorly organized, with very few headings and sub-headings. Between cases there is a seemingly endless sea of words with very few interesting points to make. Instead of using footnotes for the ridiculous number of citations, the authors decided to use in-line cites. This makes the book EXTREMELY difficult to read. It is common to see an entire paragraph that is entirely comprised of citations (literally!). Even the normal paragraphs are too cluttered with cites to be readable. If you have about 40 hours per week to devote to ConLaw, then you might enjoy all the cites (you will need to look them up yourself, because most are not explained). But if you are a law student, you will not have time to wade through this ocean of rambling. This is basically a 1,700 page sleeping pill. Professors: please do not inflict this book on your students. Use Chemerinsky instead.

I hate to say it because I've actually had both Prof. Stone and Sunstein as teachers and they are great men, but even with my personal bias for them, this is still a poorly written casebook. I used it

for two classes - one that covered Equal Protection and one over the structure of government. The section of the book on Equal Protection isn't too bad. It has some nice historical material, and most people are already somewhat familiar with the cases anyway. However, the section of the book on government structure and the commerce clause is truly atrocious. The cases aren't well edited. Sometimes the result of a case will turn on a statute and the text and even title of that statute will have been edited out. Its hard to tell what is important from the cases consequently. There are long strings of cites in cases and the notes after the cases are cramped with no real headings and hard to make heads or tales of. Half the time you can't tell why you are reading a case - what your supposed to be getting out of it and how it relates to the other cases you've read.

ContentThe editing sucks. The notes suck. The case selection sucks.
ConstructionThis book shares the same physical problems that all Aspen casebooks suffer. The binding sucks. The book will not lay flat; the hump in the pages makes it hard to read, damn near impossible to underline. The paper is too thin, print shows through not only from the other side of the page, but from other pages below. The paper is an icky off white. The font is funky with awkward leading and hard to read. The margins are non-existent. Forget about writing in the book. The cover is cheaply made and wears poorly.
Compare to a University Casebook Series book which lies flatter, has wide margins, easy to read font and page layout, nice white paper, and excellent build quality.
UPDATE: By the end of the semester, no one in my class of over 60 was still reading this book. Don't waste your money buying, even if its assigned. Don't use this awful book.
Con law students, if you're assigned this awful book, here's what to do. Wikipedia has awesome summaries on con law cases, such as "Lochner v. New York" and "Roe v. Wade," and con law topics, such as "incorporation" and "substantive due process." Don't use this book. First, read wikipedia, then use what ever supplement is assigned or that you like (I liked Understanding Constitution Law from Lexis, but most students in my class liked the assigned Constitutional Law by Chemerinsky). If need be, you can look up a case on Lexis or Westlaw, and with the headnotes, jump to the relevant portion of the case. You don't need this book, and even if you buy it, after a couple of weeks you'll stop reading it.
Update II: Con Law IIJust finished Con Law II without touching this book. Didn't even bother bringing it to class. Just used Lexis during class if needed.

It's a casebook, so it's not supposed to be great reading, but this one is by far the worst casebook I've ever had. The only thing a casebook needs to do to achieve mediocrity is contain cases. This doesn't, not really. It gives you the cliff's notes of important cases. One sentence blurbs about

others. And pages and pages of rambling, aimless, academic debate. It may be a good book for Con Law professors and others who have already read all of the cases discussed. For someone trying to learn con law, it is useless. It is also organized very poorly. Any class organized around this book is doomed from the start. If your professor uses this book, take another class. If you can't take another class, buy the Chemerinsky treatise and rely on that instead. Professors: DO NOT USE THIS BOOK.

This is THE MOST HORRIBLE casebook ever. Cases are edited to the point of being incomprehensible. Notes are incredibly dry, boring, obscurely academic, and utterly unhelpful. This book is completely useless for the study of Con law. Buy Chemerinsky instead.

Truly one of the worst law school books I've used so far. Everything the other (negative reviews) said was true. The author doesn't use footnotes, captions, endnotes, or offset text. No it is all just thrown together in one huge block of text on the page. The reading is nothing more than wading thru a morass of text which actually has no real bearing on the cases presented and doesn't add anything constructive to the understanding of the nuances of the case. horrible writing. Horrible editing. This book would be 1000% better if all supporting text was removed and nothing more than the bare cases were presented. If you are a professor, don't use this book. If you are a student, buy casenotes and only read the cases from this book.

[Download to continue reading...](#)

Constitutional Law 2016 Supplement Constitutional Law 2016 Case Supplement Constitutional Law: Rights, Liberties and Justice 8th Edition (Constitutional Law for a Changing America) Marriage: monogamy and polygamy on the basis of divine law, of natural law and of constitutional law : an open letter to the Massachusetts members of ... the opinion of the Supreme Court in Reynold Computer Crime Law: 2016 Statutory and Case Supplement (American Casebook Series) Election Law, Fifth Edition: 2016 Supplement Comprehensive Commercial Law 2016 Statutory Supplement Commentaries and Cases on the Law of Business Organization 2016-2017 Statutory Supplement Labor Law, Cases and Materials: 2016 Statutory Appendix and Case Supplement (University Casebook Series) Multistate Workbook Volume 2: pmbr Multistate Specialist- Torts, Contracts, Criminal Law, Property, Evidence, Constitutional Law Constitutional Law (Quick Study: Law) Emanuel Law Outlines: Constitutional Law Jokes : Best Jokes 2016: (Funny books, Joke books, Funny jokes, Best jokes 2016, Best jokes 2015) (Best Jokes 2016 Bundle) Bankruptcy and Article 9 2016 Statutory Supplement Bankruptcy Article 9 2016 Statutory Supplement (Visilaw Version)

Corporations and Other Business Associations Selected Statutes, Rules, and Forms: 2016
Supplement Securities Regulation Cases and Materials: 2016 Supplement Securities Regulation:
Selected Statutes Rules and Forms: 2016 Supplement Federal Rules of Evidence 2016-2017
Statutory and Case Supplement to Fisher's Evidence (University Casebook Series) Federal Rules
of Civil Procedure with Selected Statutes, Cases, and Other Materials 2016 Supplement

[Dmca](#)